



NFRC 2006 Spring Membership Meeting  
July 24-27, 2006, Minneapolis, MN

## Draft Minutes

### **Component Modeling Approach (CMA) – Subcommittee**

*(formerly Non Residential Subcommittee (Ratings))*

Wednesday, July 26, 2006

*Under the Ratings Committee Block: 12:00 pm – 6:30 pm*

Chair: *Mike Manteghi, TRACO*

Co-Chair: *Gary Curtis, West Wall Group*

---

1. **The chair called the meeting to order @ 12.50 pm.**
2. **Ray McGowan (Staff) appointed recording secretary**
3. **Spring (March 2006) Membership Meeting in San Diego, CA minutes reviewed and approved.**
4. **New Business-PCP-CMA ballot discussion. Members agreed that all negatives be considered individually, but with the intent of the entire document going back to the task group where specific negative information outlined below would be considered.**
  - a. Mike Turner moved and Chris Mathis seconded to find Birch Point Consulting's negative persuasive, take the ballot back to task group, and to continue to develop the proposed flowchart for another ballot at the next meeting. Voice vote approved.
  - b. Tom Culp moved and Mike Thoman seconded that the TG will review and consider YKK-AP America's negative comments for the next draft of the PCP-CMA ballot. Voice vote approved.
  - c. Bruce Croak moved and Larry Livermore seconded to find Graham Architectural's comments persuasive and have the TG consider them in the next PCP revision. Passed by voice vote.
  - d. Tony moved and Julie Schimmelpenningh seconded to find Arch's negative persuasive and have the TG consider them for the next draft. Voice vote approved.**
  - e. Mike Thoman moved and Elaine Hebert seconded to change *approved calculation entity* to *accredited calculation entity*. Motion fails 14-19-7.
  - f. Mike Turner moved and Larry Livermore seconded to define the ACE as an "approved calculation entity" throughout the PCP-CMA document. Motion passes 21-11-7.



## Draft Minutes

- g. Mike Thoman moved and Elaine Hebert seconded that the negative submitted by ATI be brought back to the task group for consideration and specific feedback on each item. Motion passed by a voice vote.
- h. Brad Schultz moved and Elaine Hebert seconded to refer ALI's negatives back to the task group for consideration. Specifically review,
- i. Section 2.3.D. for clarification of "Expert Reviewer,"
  - ii. Section 3.1.B.iii for editorial review (use of i.e.); and
  - iii. Section 4.3- Appendix A was not submitted as part of the ballot
- Passed by voice vote.
- i. Mahabir Bhandari moved and Margaret Webb seconded to remove section 4.1.E. (validation testing) from the document. Passed by voice vote.
- j. Rich Biscoe moved and Mike Turner seconded that GANA's negative (first section) be found persuasive and sent back to the task group to be specifically addressed with language that captures the intent of GANA's suggested language. Passed by voice vote.
- k. Greg Carney moved and Jeff baker seconded to remove reference to spacer "system" and sealant details from the draft (in GANA's negative). Passed 24-8-3. (Please note: this motion was voted on a second time because some proxies voted and they cannot do so at the subcommittee level. Other earlier votes may also have had proxies voting, but those vote tallys were not close enough to reconsider.)
- l. Greg Carney moved and Margaret Webb seconded to adopt a minimal audit program where 5% of certified projects require submittal of a limited number of defined documents (GANAs negative). Motion passes 19-10-6.
- m. Greg Carney moved and Larry Livermore seconded to define the documentation as noted in the GANA negative section 4.1.C.1.A. Passed by voice vote.
- n. Greg Carney moved and Margaret Webb seconded that their negatives be considered by the task group. Passed by voice vote.**
- o. Tom Culp moved and Margaret Webb seconded to find GANA's comment on section 1.2.3.4 persuasive, and language is added to the draft PCP to specifically allow use of the rating tools for purposes other than certification and labeling. Motion passes 18-4-11.
- p. Greg Carney moved and Margaret Webb seconded to send negatives not addressed during this meeting to the PCP Task Group for consideration and action: Specifically, negatives for,



NFRC 2006 Spring Membership Meeting  
July 24-27, 2006, Minneapolis, MN

## Draft Minutes

- i. Guardian
- ii. HHH  
Keystone
- iii. Edgetech
- iv. Old Castle
- v. Pella

Passed by voice vote.

- q. Jeff Baker moved and Elaine Hebert seconded to send University of Massachusetts negative comments back to the TG for consideration. Passed by voice vote.
  - r. Jeff Baker moved and Steve Johnson seconded that the reference to IA be reconsidered by the TG to be independent certification entity (ICE) and the requirements for the ICE be defined in the CAP document at a later date. Motion passes 21-1-7.
5. Meeting adjourned @ 5:35 pm.