



CMA PCP Task Group Conference Call Notes 4/24/07

Attendees: Mike Manteghi (Co-Chair), Greg Carney, Tom Culp, Nelson Pena, Tony Rygg, Bipin Shah, Steve Strawn, Margaret Webb, Jessica Ferris (staff)

Meeting commenced at approximately 11:30 AM (EDT).

Tom Culp discussed briefly the proposal he plans to write up for the Board's consideration. The proposal will set out specific criteria for when a manufacturer/other ACE would move from 100% IA review to a statistical auditing program. Tom indicated that he would provide the group with the draft proposal for review and any feedback before submitting it to the board.

The group began reviewing the current version of the CMA-PCP, beginning with the Foreword.

Foreword – The group incorporated language setting out that an air leakage rating in the CMA program is an optional rating that can be obtained by using the NFRC 400 standard.

Section 1 – It was agreed that the Approved Component Library Database should not have an acronym, but rather, should be referred to as “component library” throughout the document. In addition, “Documentation Trail Audit” should not have an acronym associated with it. Too many acronyms in the CMA-PCP may confuse the reader.

Section 1.2.6 – Reference to “Certified Simulator” in heading was removed. In addition, subsections A-D were deleted as this is language that is appropriate for the LAP and not the CMA-PCP.

Section 1.3 - Glossary section. The group incorporated language in the introductory paragraph to state that should there be a conflict between definitions in the CMA-PCP and the Glossary and Terminology, the latter governs.

Action Item: The group agreed that the definition for “Commercial Building” should be reworded. Tom Culp will look up the current definitions found in ASHRAE and ICodes and provide this definition to the group as a possible replacement to the existing definition. Staff will make sure that the new wording is referred to the applicable CMA technical document for revision as well.

Comment – Manufacturers, such as those who manufacture whole products at their plant location primarily for non-residential use and want to label those products instead of obtaining a label certificate for a specific project, should have the option to use either the CMA or Residential program methodology.

Next task group conference call – The group ran out of time and will reconvene again by conference call to complete the review of the CMA-PCP draft. Staff will contact the full group by e-mail to obtain everyone’s availability over the next two weeks.

Meeting Adjourned @ 1:00 PM.