

Technical Interpretation Policy Committee

September 29, 2011

12:00 pm – 1:00 pm ET

Chair: Tom Culp, Birch Point Consulting, LLC

Vice Chair: Michael Thoman, Architectural Testing, Inc.

Staff Liaison: Dennis Anderson

Minutes

- Roll Call at 12:05 PM ET:

COMPANY	NAME	PRESENT
Birch Point Consulting, LLC	Tom Culp (Chair)	Y
Architectural Testing, Inc.	Mike Thoman	Y
WESTLab	Jeff Baker	Y
AMSCO Windows	Joe Jonely	Y
LBNL	Charlie Curcija	Y
Associated Labs, Inc.	Brad Schultz	N
EFCO	Joseph Holmes	Y
Andersen Corporation	Steve Johnson	Y

Guests on the call were M. McDonald of NCTL-NW and C. Best of Benson Industries

- Agenda reviewed and approved
- August 25, 2011 conference call minutes approved.
- Unfinished Business:
 - Reviewed of TIR-2011-14 Swing Door Component Substitutions. This was discussed and no modifications to interpretation.
MOTION: J. Baker / M. Thoman moved to approve TIR-2011-14. Motion passed 6-0-0.
 - Reviewed TIR-2011-13 Modeling of Micro-foil Metals. This TIR was discussed and the proposed interpretation language was modified by TIPC. Concern with methodology was what material gets reduced when increasing the metal thickness by 'x' times – the sealant, or desiccant, etc? It was decided to not address that concern in the interpretation and focus on the metal thickness and assignment of correct effective conductivity.
MOTION: M. Thoman / J. Holmes moved to approve TIR-2011-13, as modified. Motion passed 6-0-0.
 - Reviewed of TIR-2011-15 Sightline Grouping. After discussion of the intent and purpose of the TIR, it was withdrawn by the requestor. It may be re-introduced in the future after sightline grouping becomes more familiar.
- New Business:
 - Reviewed TIR-2011-16 CMAST Frame Assemblies. This TIR was discussed and it was withdrawn by the requestor and it will be brought forward to the CMA Technical TG. Some of the notes from the discussion of this TIR were:
 - This TIR is a certification issue for the CPC
 - It was suggested to get rid of the 2-Lite System for Glazed Wall Systems in Table 4-3
 - ACEs are building different actual products for the same type of project

- Why is it required to fill out the supplemental page of the CMA Label Certificate if actual product values are optional.
- o NFRC staff notified TIPC of a future TIR to be brought forward that is in regard to thermal test labs inputting the correct value for e1 in all formulas for U-factor validation testing.
- o Next call scheduled for Tuesday, October 25 at 12:00 PM ET.
- o Adjourned at 1:20 PM ET.